## Holme Roberts & Owen LLP Attorneys at Law

111 East Broadway Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Tel (801)521-5800 Fax (801)521-9639



FACSIMILE COVER SHEET FROM FACSIMILE NUMBER: (801)521-9639

Date:

January 25, 2002

Time:\_\_\_\_

To:

U. S. District Court, District of Columbia

Attention:

Honorable Colleen Kollar-Kotally

Facsimile No.:

202-307-1454

Verification No.:

Client No.:

From:

Jay D. Gurmankin

Message:

Re: Microsoft Settlement

Number of Pages Following this Cover Sheet: 2

If you need a confirmation or any of the pages sent again, please call our offices at the following number: (801)521-5800. If you do not call within 15 minutes, we will assume you have received the pages satisfactorily.

SECRETARY: Anette Cunningham EXT: 3274

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information contained in this facsimile transmittal sheet and document(s) that follow are for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain confidential, privileged and nondisclosable information. If the recipient of this facsimile is not the addressee, or a person responsible for delivering this facsimile to the addressee, such recipient is strictly prohibited from reading, photocopying, distributing or otherwise using this facsimile transmission, or its contents, in any way. If the recipient has received this facsimile transmission in error, please call us immediately and return the facsimile transmission to us via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

Offices in: Denver Salt Lake City Boulder Colorado Springs London

#112638 v1

## Holme Roberts & Owen LLP



January 24, 2002

SENT VIA:

E-MAIL TO: Microsoft.atr@usdoj.gov

VIA FACSIMILE COPY TO: (202) 307-1454 or (202) 616-9937

1<sup>ST</sup> CLASS MAIL TO:

The Honorable Colleen Kollar-Kotally U.S. District Court, District of Columbia

c/o Renata B. Hesse Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice 601 D Street NW, Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Jay A. Gurmankin gurma y@hro.com

Re: Microsoft Settlement

Attorneys at Law

Dear Judge Kollar-Kotally:

111 % 1st Broadway
Suite 1100
Salt l ake City, Utah
841:7-5233
Tel (501)521-5800
Fax (101)521-9639
www.hro.com

I write to comment on the proposed settlement in the Microsoft v. DOJ case.

Salt Lake City

Den sor

Boulder

Coker ado Springs

London

I have practiced in the antitrust area for approximately 30 years. Although I am not fully aware of all the facts and circumstances of this particular case, and although I believe that break-up of Microsoft would be too stringent of a remedy, I do not believe the current proposal to settle the case is appropriate.

The district court judge made significant findings concerning antitrust violations committed by Microsoft. Although the Court of Appeals may have determined that the break-up remedy may have been inappropriate, that Court did not reverse the district court's findings on the antitrust violations. Therefore, it is neither prudent nor appropriate for the DOJ of a new administration to propose or support a settlement that does not reflect what our judiciary, after a full and fair airing of the arguments of all sides, might impose.

#112664 v1

## Holme Roberts & Owen LLP

Renata B. Hesse January 24, 2002 Page 2

I believe the most appropriate course of action would be for the court, on remand, to conduct a proceeding to determine an appropriate remedy. At such a hearing, all parties, including the attorneys general of the interested states, to present their positions concerning the appropriate remedy.

Respectfully,

Jay Gurmankin

cc: The Honorable Mark Shurtleff, Utah Attorney General

#112664 v1